1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 MARCUS R. WILLIAMS, CASE NO. 1:05-CV-00124-AWI-SMS-P 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION 11 v. (Doc. 5) 12 DERRAL G. ADAMS, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff Marcus R. Williams ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff seeks certification of this 16 17 litigation as a class action. Plaintiff, however, is a non-lawyer proceeding without counsel. It is well established that a layperson cannot ordinarily represent the interests of a class. See McShane v. 18 19 <u>United States</u>, 366 F.2d 286 (9th Cir. 1966). This rule becomes almost absolute when, as here, the putative class representative is incarcerated and proceeding pro se. Oxendine v. Williams, 509 F.2d 20 1405, 1407 (4th Cir. 1975). In direct terms, plaintiff cannot "fairly and adequately protect the 21 22 interests of the class" as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). See Martin v. Middendorf, 420 F. Supp. 779 (D.D.C. 1976). Therefore, plaintiff's request for class certification, filed January 31, 23 2005, is DENIED. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>June 15, 2005</u> 26 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE icido3 27 28